

Almost Quasi-mininjective Modules

Sarun Wongwai

Received 19 Oct 2009 Revised 28 Jun 2010 Accepted 20 Jul 2010

Abstract: Let M be a right R-module, $S = \operatorname{End}_R(M)$. The module M is called almost quasi-minipictive (or AQ-minipictive) if, for any simple M-cyclic submodule s(M) of M, there exists a left ideal X_s of S such that $l_S(Ker(s)) =$ $Ss \bigoplus X_s$ as left S-modules. In this paper, we give some characterizations and properties of AQ-minipictive modules.

Keywords: Almost Quasi-mininjective Modules, Endomorphism Rings

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 16D50, 16D70, 16D80

1 Introduction

Let R be a ring. A right R-module M is called *principally injective* (or Pinjective) if, every R-homomorphism from a principal right ideal of R to M can be extended to an R-homomorphism from R to M. Equivalently, $l_M(r_R(a)) = Ma$ for all $a \in R$, where l_M and r_R are the left and right annihilators in M and R, respectively. In [5], Nicholson and Yousif studied the structure of principally injective rings and gave some applications. They also continued to study rings with some other kind of injectivity, namely, minipjective rings [6]. A right R-module M is called *minipjective* if, every R-homomorphism from a simple right ideal of R to M can be extended to an R-homomorphism from R to M, or equivalently, if kR is simple, $k \in R$, $l_M(r_R(k)) = Mk$. If the regular right R-module R_R is minipjective, then the ring R is said to be a *right minipjective rings*. In [10], right minipjective rings are generalized to almost minipjective rings, that is, a right *R*-module *M* is called *almost minipective* (or A-minipective) if, for any simple right ideal kR of *R*, there exists an *S*-submodule X_k of *M* such that $l_M(r_R(k)) = Mk \bigoplus X_k$ as left *S*-modules. If R_R is an almost minipective module, then we call *R* is a *right almost minipective ring*. The nice structure of almost minipective rings draws our attention to define AQ-minipective modules, and to investigate their characterizations and properties.

Throughout this paper, R will be an associative ring with identity and all modules are unitary right R-modules. For right R-modules M and N, $\operatorname{Hom}_R(M, N)$ denotes the set of all R-homomorphisms from M to N and $S = \operatorname{End}_R(M)$. A submodule N of M is said to be an M-cyclic submodule of M if it is the image of an element of S. By notation $N \subset^{\oplus} M$ ($N \subset^e M$) we mean that N is a direct summand (an essential submodule) of M. We denote the socle and the singular submodule of M by Soc(M) and Z(M), respectively, and that J(M) denotes the Jacobson radical of M.

Following [7], for an R-module N and a submodule P of N, we will identify $\operatorname{Hom}_R(N, M)$ with the set of maps in $\operatorname{Hom}_R(P, M)$ that can be extended to N, and hence $\operatorname{Hom}_R(N, M)$ becomes a left S-submodule of $\operatorname{Hom}_R(P, M)$. In particular, for an element $s \in S$, S will be regarded as a left S-submodule of $\operatorname{Hom}_R(s(M), M)$.

2 AQ-mininjective Modules

Definition 2.1. Let M be a right R-module, $S = \operatorname{End}_R(M)$. The module M is called *almost quasi-minipective* (or AQ-minipective) if, for any simple M-cyclic submodule s(M) of M, there exists a left ideal X_s of S such that $l_S(Ker(s)) = Ss \bigoplus X_s$ as left S-modules.

Lemma 2.2. Let M be a right R-module and let s(M) be an M-cyclic submodule of M.

- (1) If $\operatorname{Hom}_R(s(M), M) = S \bigoplus Y$ as left S-modules, then $l_S(Ker(s)) = Ss \bigoplus X$ as left S-modules, where $X = \{fs : f \in Y\}$.
- (2) If $l_S(Ker(s)) = Ss \bigoplus X$ for some $X \subset S$ as left S-modules, then we have $\operatorname{Hom}_R(s(M), M) = S \bigoplus Y$ as left S-modules, where $Y = \{f \in \operatorname{Hom}_R(s(M), M) : fs \in X\}$.

(3) Ss is a direct summand of $l_S(Ker(s))$ as left S-modules if and only if S is a direct summand of $\operatorname{Hom}_R(s(M), M)$ as left S-modules.

Proof. Define θ : $\operatorname{Hom}_R(s(M), M) \to l_S(Ker(s))$ by $\theta(f) = fs$ for every $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_R(s(M), M)$. It is obvious that θ is an S-monomorphism. For $t \in \ell_S(Ker(s))$, define $g: s(M) \to M$ by g(s(m)) = t(m) for every $m \in M$. Since $Ker(s) \subset Ker(t)$, g is well-defined, so it is clear that g is an R-homomorphism. Then $\theta(g) = gs = t$. Therefore θ is an S-isomorphism. Let $fs \in Ss$. Since $fs \in l_S(Ker(s))$, there exists $\varphi \in Hom_R(s(M), M)$ such that $\theta(\varphi) = fs$, so $\varphi s = fs$. Define $\widehat{\varphi}: M \to M$ by $\widehat{\varphi}(m) = f(m)$ for every $m \in M$. It is clear that $\widehat{\varphi}$ is an R-homomorphism and is an extension of φ . Then $fs = \widehat{\varphi}s = \theta(\widehat{\varphi})$. This shows that $Ss \subset \theta(S)$. The other inclusion is clear. Then $\theta(S) = Ss$ and $X = \theta(Y) = \{fs: f \in Y\}$. Then the lemma follows.

From Lemma 2.2, the following corollary follows.

Corollary 2.3. Let M be a right R-module and let s(M) be an M-cyclic submodule of M. Then $l_S(Ker(s)) = Ss$ if and only if every R-homomorphism from s(M) to M can be extended to M.

Theorem 2.4. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) M is AQ-mininjective.
- (2) There exists an indexed set $\{X_s : s \in S\}$ of left ideals of S with the property that if s(M) is simple, $s \in S$, then $\ell_S(Im(t) \cap Ker(s)) = (X_{st} : t)_l + Ss$ and $(X_{st} : t)_l \cap Ss \subset l_S(t)$ for all $t \in S$, where $(X_{st} : t)_l = \{g \in S : gt \in X_{st}\}$ if $st \neq 0$ and $X_0 = 0$.

Proof. (1)⇒(2) Let *s*(*M*) be a simple *M*-cyclic submodule of *M*. Then there exists a left ideal *X_s* of *S* such that $l_S(Ker(s)) = Ss \bigoplus X_s$ as left *S*-modules. Let $t \in S$. If $st \neq 0$, then for any $g \in \ell_S(Im(t) \cap Ker(s))$ we have $Ker(st) \subset Ker(gt)$. Since *s*(*M*) is simple, *st*(*M*) = *s*(*M*). Then there exists a left ideal *X_{st}* of *S* such that $l_S(Ker(st)) = Sst \bigoplus X_{st}$ as left *S*-modules. Thus $gt \in Sst \bigoplus X_{st}$ because $gt \in l_S(Ker(gt)) \subset l_S(Ker(st))$. Write gt = fst + x where $f \in S$ and $x \in X_{st}$. Then $(g - fs)t = x \in X_{st}$, so $g - fs \in (X_{st} : t)_l$. It follows that $g \in (X_{st} : t)_l + Ss$. This shows that $\ell_S(Im(t) \cap Ker(s)) \subset (X_{st} : t)_l + Ss$. Conversely, it is clear that $Ss \subset \ell_S(Im(t) \cap Ker(s))$. Let $y \in (X_{st} : t)_l$. Then $yt \in X_{st} \subset l_S(Ker(st))$. If $t(m) \in Im(t) \cap Ker(s)$, then st(m) = 0 and so yt(m) = 0.

Hence $y \in \ell_S(Im(t) \cap Ker(s))$. This shows that $(X_{st}:t)_l \subset \ell_S(Im(t) \cap Ker(s))$. Therefore $\ell_S(Im(t) \cap Ker(s)) = (X_{st}:t)_l + Ss$. If $gs \in (X_{st}:t)_l \cap Ss$, then $gst \in X_{st} \cap Sst = 0$. Hence $gs \in l_S(t)$.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$ Let s(M) be a simple M-cyclic submodule of M. Then there exists a left ideal X_s of S such that $l_S(ker(s)) = \ell_S(Im(1) \cap Ker(s)) = (X_s : 1)_l + Ss$ and $(X_s : 1)_l \cap Ss \subset l_S(1) = 0$. Note that $(X_s : 1)_l = X_s$. Then (1) follows. \Box

Note that, the ring R is right almost mininjective if and only if R_R is AQ-mininjective. From this result and Theorem 2.4 we have

Corollary 2.5. [10, Theorem 3.1] The following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) R is right A-mininjective.
- (ii) There exists an indexed set $\{X_a : a \in R\}$ of left ideals of R with the property that if kR is simple, $k \in R$, then $\ell[aR \cap r(k)] = (X_{ka} : a)_l + Rk$ and $(X_{ka} : a)_l \cap Rk \subset l(a)$ for all $a \in R$, where $(X_{ka} : a)_l = \{x \in R : xa \in X_{ka}\}$ if $ka \neq 0$ and $X_0 = 0$.

Following [6], we consider the conditions MC_2 and MC_3 for a ring R. MC_2 : If $kR \simeq eR$ is simple, $e = e^2$, then kR = gR, for some $g = g^2$. MC_3 : If $eR \neq fR$ are simple, $e = e^2$, $f = f^2$, then $eR \bigoplus fR = gR$ for some $g = g^2$.

The next proposition shows that the conditions (MC_2) and (MC_3) also hold in an AQ-mininjective module.

Proposition 2.6. Let M be an AQ-minipective module and $S = \text{End}_R(M)$.

- (1) If $e(M) \simeq k(M)$ is simple, $e^2 = e \in S$, then k(M) = g(M), for some $g^2 = g \in S$.
- (2) If $e(M) \neq f(M)$ are simple, $e^2 = e \in S$, $f^2 = f \in S$, then $e(M) \bigoplus f(M) = g(M)$ for some $g^2 = g \in S$.

Proof. (1) Let $e(M) \simeq k(M)$ is a simple submodule of M, $e^2 = e \in S$ and let $\sigma : e(M) \to k(M)$ be an R-isomorphism. Set $\alpha = \sigma e$. Then $\alpha(M) = k(M)$ and $Ker(e) = Ker(\alpha)$, so $\alpha(M)$ is a simple submodule of M. Then $e \in l_S(Ker(e)) = l_S(Ker(\alpha)) = S\alpha \bigoplus X_{\alpha}$ where X_{α} is a left ideal of S. Write $e = s\alpha + x$ where $s \in S$ and $x \in X_{\alpha}$. Thus $\alpha = \alpha e = \alpha s\alpha + \alpha x$ and so $\alpha - \alpha s\alpha = \alpha x \in S\alpha \cap X_{\alpha} = 0$, hence $\alpha = \alpha s\alpha$. Put $g = \alpha s$. Then $g^2 = g$ and k(M) = g(M).

(2) Let $e(M) \neq f(M)$ are simple, $e^2 = e \in S, f^2 = f \in S$. Then we have $e(M) \bigoplus f(M) = e(M) \bigoplus (1-e)f(M)$. If (1-e)f(M) = 0, then $e(M) \bigoplus f(M) = e(M)$, because by assumption we have $e(M) \cap f(M) = 0$. Hence $e(M) \bigoplus f(M)$ is a direct summand of M. If $(1-e)f(M) \neq 0$, then $f(M) \simeq (1-e)f(M)$ so $(1-e)f(M) = g(M), g^2 = g \in S$, by (1). Then eg = 0 so h = e + g - ge is an idempotent such that he = e = eh and hg = g = gh. If $x \in e(M) \bigoplus f(M)$, then $x \in e(M) \bigoplus (1-e)f(M) = e(M) \bigoplus g(M)$. Write x = e(m) + g(n). It follows that $x = he(m) + hg(n) = h(e(m) + g(n)) \in h(M)$. This shows that $e(M) \bigoplus f(M) \subset h(M)$. The other inclusion is clear. Then $e(M) \bigoplus f(M) = h(M)$.

Proposition 2.7. Let M be an AQ-miniplective module which is a principal self-generator. Then $Soc(M_R) \subset r_M(J(S))$.

Proof. Let mR be a simple submodule of M. Suppose $\alpha(m) \neq 0$ for some $\alpha \in J(S)$. As M is a principal self-generator, $mR = \sum_{s \in I} s(M)$ for some $I \subset S$. Since mR is a simple, mR = s(M) for some $0 \neq s \in I$. Then $\alpha s \neq 0$ and $Ker(\alpha s) = Ker(s)$. Note that $\alpha s(M)$ is a nonzero homomorphic image of the simple module s(M), then $\alpha s(M)$ is simple. Since M is AQ-mininjective, there exists a left ideal $X_{\alpha s}$ of S such that $l_S(ker(\alpha s)) = S\alpha s \bigoplus X_{\alpha s}$ as left S-modules. Thus $l_S(ker(s)) = S\alpha s \bigoplus X_{\alpha s}$. Write $s = \beta \alpha s + x$ where $\beta \in S$ and $x \in X_{\alpha s}$. Then $(1 - \beta \alpha)s = x$ and so $s = (1 - \beta \alpha)^{-1}x \in X_{\alpha s}$. It follows that $\alpha s \in S\alpha s \cap X_{\alpha s} = 0$, a contradiction.

The following corollary follows from Proposition 2.7 and [8, 21.15].

Corollary 2.8. Let M be an AQ-miniplective module which is a principal selfgenerator. If S is semilocal, then $Soc(M_R) \subset Soc(_SM)$.

Let M be a right R-module with $S = \operatorname{End}_R(M)$. Following [4], write $\Delta = \{s \in S : ker(s) \subset^e M\}$. It is known that Δ is an ideal of S [4, Lemma 3.2].

Proposition 2.9. Let M be an AQ-minipictive module which is a principal self-generator and $Soc(M_R) \subset^e M$. Then $J(S) \subset \Delta$.

Proof. Let $s \in J(S)$. If $Ker(s) \not\subset^e M$, then $Ker(s) \cap N = 0$ for some nonzero submodule N of M. Since $Soc(M_R) \subset^e M$, $Soc(M_R) \cap N \neq 0$. Then there exists a simple submodule kR of M such that $kR \subset Soc(M_R) \cap N$ [1, Corollary 9.10]. As M is a principal self-generator and kR is simple, kR = t(M) for some $t \in S$. It follows that Ker(st) = Ker(t). Since ts(M) is a nonzero homomorphic image

of the simple module t(M), st(M) = t(M). Then there exists a left ideal X_{st} of S such that $t \in l_S(ker(t)) = l_S(ker(st) = Sst \bigoplus X_{st}$. Write $t = \alpha st + x$ where $\alpha \in S$ and $x \in X_{st}$. It follows that $t = (1 - \alpha s)^{-1}x$. Then $st = s(1 - \alpha s)^{-1}x \in Sst \cap X_{st} = 0$, a contradiction.

Proposition 2.10. Let M be an AQ-mininjective module which is a principal self-generator and $Soc(M_R) \subset^e M$. If M is nonsingular, then J(S) = 0.

Proof. Since $J(S) \subset \Delta$ by Proposition 2.9, we show that $\Delta = 0$. Let $s \in \Delta$ and let $m \in M$. Define $\varphi : R \to M$ by $\varphi(r) = mr$. It is clear that φ is an R-homomorphism. Thus

$$r_R(s(m)) = \{r \in R : s(mr) = 0\}$$
$$= \{r \in R : mr \in Ker(s)\}$$
$$= \{r \in R : \varphi(r) \in Ker(s)\}$$
$$= \varphi^{-1}(Ker(s)).$$

It follows that $\varphi^{-1}(Ker(s)) \subset^{e} R$ [3, Lemma 5.8(a)] so $r_{R}(s(m)) \subset^{e} R$. Thus $s(m) \in Z(M_{R}) = 0$ because M is nonsingular. As this is true for all $m \in M$, we have s = 0. Hence $\Delta = 0$ as required.

Acknowledgements: The author wishes to thank the referees for the valuable suggestions and comments.

References

- F.W. Anderson and K.R. Fuller, *Rings and Categories of Modules*, Graduate Texts in Math., No. 13, Springer-verlag, New York, 1992.
- [2] N.V. Dung, D.V. Huynh, P.F. Smith and R. Wisbauer, *Extending Modules*, Pitman, London, 1994.
- [3] A. Facchini, *Module Theory*, Birkhauser Verlag, Basel, Boston, Berlin, 1998.
- [4] S.H. Mohamed and B.J. Müller, Continuous and Discrete Modules, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series 14, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1990.

- [5] W.K. Nicholson and M.F. Yousif, Principally injective rings, J. Algebra, 174(1995), 77–93.
- [6] W.K. Nicholson and M.F. Yousif, Mininjective rings, J. Algebra, 187(1997), 548–578.
- [7] S.S. Page and Y. Zhou, Generalizations of principally injective rings, J. Algebra, 206(1998), 706–721.
- [8] R. Wisbauer, Foundations of Module and Ring Theory, Gordon and Breach London, Tokyo e.a., 1991.
- [9] S. Wongwai, On the endomorphism ring of a semi-injective module, Acta Math. Univ. Comenianae, 71(1)(2002), 27–33.
- [10] S. Wongwai, Almost Mininjective Rings, Thai Journal of Mathematics, 4(1)(2006), 245–249.

Sarun Wongwai Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Technology, Rajamangala University of Technology Thanyaburi, Pathumthani 12110, Thailand Email: wsarun@hotmail.com